

ELECTRONICALLY FILED
12/12/2024 8:00 AM
Kern County Superior Court
By Gricelda Evans, Deputy

SIMAS & ASSOCIATES, LTD.
Steven L. Simas (State Bar No. 150901)
Ryan M. Keever (State Bar No. 301204)
Frances E. Heredia (State Bar No. 352103)
7355 Morro Road, Suite 101
Atascadero, California 93422
805.547.9300 (telephone)
805.547.9302 (facsimile)
info@simasgovlaw.com

Attorneys for Petitioners Jennifer Estrada and Greenfield Union School District

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF KERN

JENNIFER ESTRADA and GREENFIELD
UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT,

Petitioners,

vs.

KERN COUNTY ELECTIONS DIVISION,

Respondent.

Case No.: BCV-24-104155

[Hon. Bernard C. Barmann, Jr.]

**FIRST AMENDED PETITION
FOR WRIT OF ORDINARY
MANDATE AND COMPLAINT
FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF**

(Code Civ. Proc., §§, 1085, 1176, and 1060)

Division: H
Location: Metro Justice Building
1215 Truxtun Avenue
Bakersfield, California 93301

Date Action Filed: December 4, 2024

Trial Date: TBD

Petitioner Jennifer Estrada (Ms. Estrada or “Petitioner”) hereby respectfully petitions this Court to issue a writ of ordinary mandate pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 1085, and issue a declaratory judgment under California Code of Civil Procedure Section 1060 directing the Kern County Elections Division (“Respondent” or “Elections Division”) to set aside its December 5, 2024 certification of the November 2024 election, on the Grounds set forth in Elections Code section 16100(d) that “illegal votes were cast” in the election for Board of Trustees

SIMAS & ASSOCIATES, LTD.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

SIMAS & ASSOCIATES, LTD.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1 of the Greenfield Union School District (“District”), and based upon Respondent mistakenly
2 sending 108 ballots to the incorrect residential district or area for the District’s Board of Trustees
3 election.

4 The District petitions this Court for a declaratory judgment under California Code of Civil
5 Procedure Section 1060 declaring that illegal votes were cast based upon Respondent mistakenly
6 sending 108 ballots to the incorrect residential district or area for the District’s Board of Trustees
7 election.

8 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

9 1. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this petition pursuant to California Code of
10 Civil Procedure § 1085 and § 1060.

11 2. Petitioners and Respondent are both present in the County of Kern, and the dispute
12 arose within the County of Kern. Thus, Superior Court of the State of California, County of Kern is
13 the appropriate venue. (Code Civ. Proc., § 393.)

14 **PARTIES**

15 3. Petitioner Jennifer Estrada is a resident of Bakersfield, California, and a voter in
16 District Trustee Area C of the Greenfield Union School District (“District” or “GUSD”).

17 4. Petitioner District is a public school district located within Kern County and
18 governed by a Board of Trustees elected by the public. GUSD administers nine elementary schools,
19 three middle schools, and one community school, and serves approximately 9,000 students.

20 5. Respondent is a department within Kern County charged with administering and
21 certifying elections and results pursuant to Elections Code section 15372.

22 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

23 6. On August 24, 2011, pursuant to Education Code 5019(c) and 5030(b) the Board of
24 Trustees of the GUSD approved Resolution 12-1 which changed its system for electing trustees or
25 governing board members from an “at-large” district to a “by-trustee” district.¹

26
27 ¹ A copy of the Resolution is attached as **Exhibit 1**.

SIMAS & ASSOCIATES, LTD.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1 7. Education Code Section 5030(b), requires “that one or more members residing in
2 each trustee area be elected by the registered voters of that particular trustee area.” Further,
3 Elections Code section 14026(b) states that “District-based elections” means a method of electing
4 members to the governing body of a political subdivision in which the candidate must reside in an
5 election district that is a divisible part of the political subdivision and is elected only by voters
6 residing within that election district.”

7 8. Since the District governing body adopted the “by-trustee” resolution in 2011, all
8 elections have proceeded in accord with these requirements since that time.

9 9. In 2016, District Trustee Area C voters elected Dr. Ricardo Herrera as the Trustee for
10 Area C of the District. He has served continually and been reelected since that time.

11 10. In the recent November 5, 2024 election, Dr. Herrera ran against Mercy Peña for the
12 GUSD Trustee Area C position. As reported by the Kern County Elections Department website, the
13 current vote count is 1012 votes for Ms. Peña and 1004 votes for Dr. Herrera.²

14 11. On December 3, 2024, Counsel for Respondent, Deputy County Counsel Stephanie
15 Bouey notified General Counsel for GUSD Abigale Auffant at Schools Legal Service, that
16 Respondent discovered 108 voters were incorrectly assigned to GUSD Area C that should have
17 been assigned to Area D:

From: Stephanie Bouey <sbouey@kerncounty.com>
Date: December 3, 2024 at 11:46:29 AM PST
To: Abigale Auffant <abauffant@kern.org>
Cc: Laura Cantu <cantula@kerncounty.com>
Subject: Greenfield USD Trustee Area C Election

21 Hi Abby,
22 I’m following up on our call this morning. Kern County Elections discovered 108 voters
23 were assigned to the wrong trustee area in the Greenfield USD Area C race and were
24 incorrectly allowed to vote in that race. All affected voters should have assigned to Trustee
25 Area D. The two candidates in this race are separated by less than ten votes at the most
26 recent count.

25 We have confirmed that Kern County Elections Official must certify the election results by
26 Thursday, December 5, absent a court order. At this time, we have spoken with your office,

27 ² See <https://electionsapps.kerncounty.com/ElectionInformation/Results?ID=126>. Petitioners ask the Court to take
judicial notice of these results as an official government record.

SIMAS & ASSOCIATES, LTD.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1 each candidate, and we left a voicemail with the school district regarding this situation and
the relevant deadlines.

2 My phone number is below, let me know if you have any questions.

3 Thank you,
Stephanie Bouey
Deputy County Counsel

4 ...

5 12. Given how close this race in Area C is and the recent news of Respondent
6 improperly attributing votes to the incorrect Trustee Area, Petitioners has no choice but to file this
7 Petition to seek to preserve the integrity of this election.

8 13. On or about December 5, 2024, Respondent, notwithstanding the casting of illegal
9 votes, certified the election as accurate, in violation of Education Code 5030(b) and resulting in the
10 casting of illegal votes in violation of Elections Code section 16100(d).

11 **PETITION FOR WRIT OF TRADITIONAL MANDATE**
12 **(Code Civ. Proc., § 1085 – Petitioner Jennifer Estrada)**

13 14. Petitioners reference paragraphs 1 through 13 above and incorporate them herein by
14 reference as though fully set forth.

15 15. Petitioner Ms. Estrada has a beneficial interest in the outcome of the proceedings.
16 (Code of Civil Procedure, § 1086) and has standing under Elections Code section 16100 as a voter
17 or elector within the Trustee Area C, who is challenging the election certification as illegal votes
18 were cast in violation of Section 16100(d).

19 16. Respondent admits that it mailed out 108 ballots which allowed voters who reside in
20 Trustee Area D to improperly and unlawfully vote in Trustee Area C of the District, in violation of
21 the residency requirements of Education Code section 5030(b), Elections Code section 14026(b),
22 and the District’s Resolution 12-1 as alleged at paragraph 11, *supra*.

23 17. Petitioner is seeking a Court order annulling and setting aside the election results for
24 Trustee Area C, and to compel Respondent to follow the requirements of the Education Code
25 regarding by-trustee elections, including that only voters of the Trustee Area are eligible to vote for
26 the governing Board member of their area. Specifically, Petitioner asks the Court to compel
27

SIMAS & ASSOCIATES, LTD.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1 Respondent to follow Education Code section 5030(b) which states “that one or more members
2 residing in each trustee area be elected by the registered voters of that particular trustee area,” and
3 Elections Code section 14026(b) which defined “district based elections” as those in which the
4 candidate must reside within the election district and “is elected only by voters residing withing
5 that election district.”

6 18. Respondent is a County Department, and subject to following the law, including its
7 own policies, rules, and procedures, in addition to statutory and other law. (Code of Civil Procedure,
8 § 1085(a).)

9 19. Respondent has a clear, present, ministerial, and legal duty to certify election results
10 that comport with the legal requirements of these statutes, including the Education Code and
11 Election Code sections cited above, and has admittedly failed to do so.

12 20. Petitioner seeks to prevent Respondent from abusing its authority and discretion,
13 refusing to follow the legal requirements for this election, and acting in an arbitrary and capricious
14 manner.

15 21. An action in ordinary mandamus is proper where the claim is that an agency has
16 failed to act as required by law or acted in excess of the law.

17 22. Petitioner seeks a Court Order annulling or setting aside the election results for
18 Trustee Area C and ordering Respondent conduct a new, special election to cure the illegal defects.
19 (See *Bradley v. Perrodin* (2003) 106 Cal. App. 4th 1153, 1170).

20 23. Ordinary mandamus applies because Respondent certifying the results incorrectly
21 was arbitrary, capricious, or entirely lacking in evidentiary support, contrary to established public
22 policy, unlawful, procedurally unfair. (*Code of Civil Procedure*, §1085; *City of Scotts Valley v.*
23 *County of Santa Cruz* (2011) 200 Cal.App.4th 97; *Jefferson v. Compton Unified School Dist.* (1993)
24 14 Cal.App.4th 32.)

25 24. Respondent abused its discretion certifying the results on December 5, 2024, under
26 the circumstances presented in this case.

27 25. Respondent’s abuse of discretion harmed Petitioner, disenfranchising her vote, and

1 harms the current candidates who have run for office, the integrity of its election process, the
2 governance of the district going forward, the public and constituents of the District.

3 26. Petitioner has no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law regarding Respondent’s
4 failures to adhere to the law, other than the relief sought by this Petition for Writ of Mandate. (Code
5 of Civil Procedure, § 1086.)

6 **REQUEST FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF**

7 **(Code Civ. Proc., § 1060 – Petitioners Jennifer Estrada and District)**

8 27. Jennifer Estrada and Greenfield Union School District (collectively, “Petitioners”)
9 refer to paragraphs 1 through 26 above and incorporate the same by this reference as though fully
10 set forth herein at length.

11 28. Petitioners have alleged an actual present controversy with Respondent regarding the
12 unlawful and improper certification election results. Petitioner Ms. Estrada is a voter or elector as
13 defined in Elections Code section 16100. The District is charged with maintaining fairness and
14 impartiality in the election of its Board of Trustees.

15 29. Petitioners bring this original action for a declaration of the parties’ rights and duties,
16 given that Respondent has certified the election results on December 5 with admitted errors,
17 including the unlawful 108 ballots, and failing to follow the law regarding who is eligible to vote.
18 Petitioners seek a declaratory judgment determining and ordering as follows:

- 19 a. That illegal votes were cast in violation of Elections Code section 16100(d).
- 20 b. That the election in Trustee Area C is annulled and set aside pursuant to Election
21 Code section 16100(d).
- 22 c. That Respondent needs to hold a special election for Trustee Area C as soon as
23 possible, at Respondent’s expense.
- 24 d. That the District preserve the status quo with the current office-holder remaining
25 in office until such time as Respondent holds a special election and then properly
26 certify the results.
- 27 e. That Respondent has acted in an arbitrary and capricious matter, thus entitling

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

6. For such other and further relief as the court deems proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: December 11, 2024

SIMAS & ASSOCIATES, LTD.



STEVEN L. SIMAS
RYAN M. KEEVER
FRANCES E. HEREDIA
Attorneys for Petitioner Jennifer Estrada
& Greenfield Union School District

SIMAS & ASSOCIATES, LTD.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

VERIFICATION

I, the undersigned hereby state:

I am the Superintendent of the Petitioner Greenfield Union School District in the above-entitled action. I have read the foregoing amended petition and know the contents thereof. I believe that the matters in it are true, and, on that ground, allege that the matters in the petition are true. The same is true of my knowledge, except on the matters which are therein stated on my information or belief and as to those matters that I believe it to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and that this declaration was executed this 11th day of December 2024, in Bakersfield, California.

DocuSigned by:

Ramon Hendrix

D7529D98CC29490...

RAMÓN HENDRIX, Superintendent
Greenfield Union School District

SIMAS & ASSOCIATES, LTD.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

VERIFICATION

I, the undersigned hereby state:

I am a resident of Kern County and voter within Trustee Area C of the Greenfield Union School District and am a petitioner in the above-entitled action. I have read the foregoing amended petition and know the contents thereof. I believe that the matters in it are true, and, on that ground, allege that the matters in the petition are true. The same is true of my knowledge, except on the matters which are therein stated on my information or belief and as to those matters that I believe it to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and that this declaration was executed this 11th day of December 2024, in Bakersfield, California.

Signed by:


D757E8B9EA7D4GB...

JENNIFER ESTRADA

SIMAS & ASSOCIATES, LTD.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

EXHIBIT 1

**BEFORE THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE
GREENFIELD UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT OF KERN COUNTY**

In the Matter of the Establishment
of Trustee Areas

RESOLUTION NO. 12-1

A Resolution by the Governing Board
Regarding Change in Election System
and Approval of the Adoption of a
Trustee Area Plan Based on the 2010
Census for Use at the November 2012
and Subsequent Governing Board
Elections

RECITALS

1. California Education Code sections 5019(a) & (c)(l) and 5030 authorize the Kern County Committee on School District Organization ("County Committee"), upon application of a school district's governing board, to change the method of election in a school district under its jurisdiction; and
2. Members of the Board of Trustees of the Greenfield Union School District of Kern County, California ("School District" or "District") are currently elected in "at-large" elections, i.e., elections in which "each governing board member [is] elected by the registered voters of the entire school district" [Education Code section 5030(a)]; and
3. It is, however, the considered view of the Board of Trustees that the public interest may be well-served by election of its governing board members in "by- trustee area" elections, i.e., elections in which "one or more members residing in each trustee area [is] elected by the registered voters of that particular trustee area" [Education Code section 5030(b)]; and
4. The Board of Trustees held a public hearing on June 8, 2011 to receive comment from the public about the criteria that should guide the establishment of trustee areas, including identification of communities of interest; and
5. The Board of Trustees adopted a resolution on June 8, 2011 specifying criteria to guide the establishment of trustee areas, including compliance with the requirements of the United States Constitution concerning reasonable equality in population among trustee areas, and compliance with Section 2 of the federal Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. section 1973, prohibiting the use of any voting qualification, or prerequisite to voting, or standard, practice, or procedure, including establishing trustee areas, in a manner which

results in a denial or abridgement of the right of any citizen of the United States to vote on account of race or color; and,

6. The Board's June 8, 2011 resolution further authorized the Superintendent to request the District's independent demographer, National Demographics Corporation ("NDC"), to prepare multiple sample trustee area plans based on 2010 Census data and the adopted criteria; and

7. National Demographics Corporation prepared four sample trustee area plans based upon 2010 Census data and the adopted criteria, which were presented to the Board at its June 22, 2011 meeting and posted on the District's web site; and

8. At its June 22, 2011 meeting, the Board held a duly-noticed public hearing to solicit public testimony regarding the draft plans presented by NDC; and

9. At its July 27, 2011 meeting, the Board again considered the trustee area plans prepared by NDC, with opportunity for public comment; and

10. At the July 27, 2011 meeting, the Board identified Plan 2 as its preferred scenario; and

11. At its July 27, 2011 meeting, the Board held an additional public hearing for the purpose of soliciting public testimony regarding the draft plans presented by NDC, and in particular, the plan identified by the Board as its preferred scenario; and

12. After discussion and consideration of all input, the Board desires to adopt Plan 2;

13. Among others, the Board considered the following factors in considering the selection of a trustee area plan: Plan 2 met legal requirements with respect to equality of population, containing of a deviation of 4.29 percent in total population among the five trustee areas. Only Plans 1, 2 and 4 met legal requirements with respect to compliance with section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act. Plan 2 contains two majority Hispanic citizen voting age population trustee areas, and all five trustee areas reflect a majority of Hispanic voting age population. Plan 2 also met the following Board-adopted criteria: the plan used geography and topography within the District in determining boundaries, while respecting whole census geography. No communities of interest came forward seeking special treatment; however, the plan does feature two trustee areas reflecting African-American citizen voting age population in excess of 16 percent, while African-American citizen voting age population District-wide is only 10.8 percent. Plan 2 meets the requirements for cohesiveness, integrity, compactness, contiguity of territory. The plan also took into account jurisdictional boundaries and the location of public facilities, specifically school attendance zones, to the extent possible. Plan 2 did not meet the criterion calling for avoidance of head-to-head election contests due in part to

the fact that three incumbents reside in very close proximity to one another. Two of the trustee areas contain the residences of two trustees each; two trustee areas do not incorporate the residences of any incumbents.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

A. The above recitals are true and correct.

B. The Board resolves to change its election system to a "by-trustee area" election system as authorized by Education Code sections 5019(c) and 5030(b).

C. The Board adopts Plan 2, attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated by reference, as the trustee area plan for use at the District's November 2012 and subsequent governing board elections, until a realignment is required pursuant to Education Code section 5019.5, following the release of the 2020 Census.

D. The Superintendent is authorized and directed to take all actions necessary to permit implementation of these changes at the November 2012 governing board election, including filing the resolution to change election systems and trustee area plan with the Kern County Committee on School District Organization for approval.

E. The Superintendent shall consult with legal counsel to resolve all legal issues necessary to give effect to this Resolution.


THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was adopted upon motion by Trustee Shaw, seconded by Trustee Bowman, at a regular meeting held on July 27, 2011, by the following vote:

AYES: 4

NOES: 1


ABSENT: 0

DATED: July 27, 2011



President, Board of Trustees
Greenfield Union School District

EXHIBIT A



Plan 2 Statistics

Abbreviations:
 Dev. = Deviation
 Hisp = Hispanic
 NH = "Non-Hispanic"
 Wht = White
 Blk = Black / African American
 Ind = Native American
 Asn = Asian American
 Haw or Hwn = Hawaiian and Pacific Islander
 Oth = Other
 OthMR or MR = Multi-Race
 "DOJ" = Aggregated according to U.S. Department of Justice guidance
 CVAP = Citizen Voting Age Population

Dist	Tot. Pop.	Dev.	% Dev.	NH					NH		NH	
				Hisp	Wht	Blk	Ind	Asn	Hwn	DOJ	Oth	DOJ
A	9,823	-17	-0.17%	5,773	2,273	1,103	87	473	4	46	64	
B	10,039	199	2.02%	6,359	1,942	902	75	629	9	34	89	
C	9,989	149	1.51%	7,344	1,418	845	74	239	15	22	32	
D	9,617	-223	-2.27%	6,535	2,332	316	130	251	8	22	23	
E	9,732	-108	-1.10%	7,317	1,185	466	50	621	4	54	35	
Total	49,200	422	4.29%	33,328	9,150	3,632	416	2,213	40	178	243	
Ideal	9,840											

Dist	18+ Pop	NH18+ NH18+ NH18+ NH18+ NH18+										Asn +					Asn +				
		H18+ Pop	NH18+ Wht	DOJ Blk	DOJ Ind	DOJ Asn	DOJ Hwn	DOJ Oth	DOJ OthMR	Tot. Reg.	Hisp. Reg.	Asn. Reg.	Fil. Reg.	Fil. Reg.	Tot. Vote.	Hisp. Vote.	Asn. Vote.	Fil. Vote.	Fil. Vote.		
A	6,693	3,529	1,940	709	73	371	4	26	41	3,008	1,047	49	42	91	1,367	382	15	21	36		
B	6,488	3,747	1,560	562	59	482	6	19	53	3,044	1,371	78	35	113	1,317	517	25	8	33		
C	6,234	4,345	1,119	487	52	184	10	18	19	2,726	1,400	31	36	67	1,082	491	15	13	28		
D	6,337	3,924	1,905	180	98	194	8	14	14	2,646	1,160	36	21	57	1,129	405	15	6	21		
E	6,065	4,303	923	275	30	471	3	38	22	2,441	1,364	93	27	120	1,038	523	37	11	48		
Total	31,817	19,848	7,447	2,213	312	1,702	31	115	149	13,865	6,342	287	161	448	5,933	2,318	107	59	166		

Dist	Special Tabulation									American Community Survey (ACS)								
	Total CVAP	Hisp CVAP	NH Wht CVAP	NH Blk CVAP	NH Asn CVAP	NH Ind CVAP	NH Hwn CVAP	NH MR CVAP	NH Oth CVAP	Total CVAP	Hisp CVAP	NH Wht CVAP	NH Blk CVAP	NH Asn CVAP	NH Ind CVAP	NH Hwn CVAP	NH MR CVAP	NH Oth CVAP
A	5,156	1,744	2,169	851	107	67	0	215		5,329	1,965	2,232	681	136	133	0	368	1,108
B	4,786	1,858	2,056	512	191	41	1	120		4,643	1,804	1,941	472	205	86	1	281	972
C	3,311	1,312	1,288	533	137	10	0	32		3,364	1,340	1,249	526	121	15	0	129	667
D	3,492	1,753	1,430	101	106	18	0	76		3,475	1,743	1,390	109	107	30	0	149	868
E	3,349	1,683	1,300	168	116	1	0	82		3,350	1,684	1,286	177	116	10	0	158	845
Total	20,095	8,351	8,243	2,165	658	137	1	525		20,161	8,536	8,098	1,965	684	273	1	1,085	4,460

Plan 2 Percentages

Abbreviations:
 Dev. = Deviation
 Hisp = Hispanic
 NH = "Non-Hispanic"
 Wht = White
 Blk = Black / African American
 Ind = Native American
 Asn = Asian American
 Haw or Hwn = Hawaiian and Pacific Islander
 Oth = Other
 OthMR or MR = Multi-Race
 "DOJ" = Aggregated according to U.S. Department of Justice guidance
 CVAP = Citizen Voting Age Population

Dist	% NH		% NH		% NH		% NH		% NH	
	% Hisp.	Wht	DOJ Blk	DOJ Ind	DOJ Asn	Hwn	DOJ Oth	OthMR	DOJ	DOJ
A	58.8%	23.1%	11.2%	0.9%	4.8%	0.0%	0.5%	0.7%		
B	63.3%	19.3%	9.0%	0.7%	6.3%	0.1%	0.3%	0.9%		
C	73.5%	14.2%	8.5%	0.7%	2.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%		
D	68.0%	24.2%	3.3%	1.4%	2.6%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%		
E	75.2%	12.2%	4.8%	0.5%	6.4%	0.0%	0.6%	0.4%		
Total	67.7%	18.6%	7.4%	0.8%	4.5%	0.1%	0.4%	0.5%		


Dist	% NH18+		% NH18+		% NH18+		% NH18+		% Asn		% Asn +		% Asn +			
	% Hisp. Reg.	% Asn. Reg.	% Fil. Reg.	+ Fil. Reg.	Hisp. Vote.	Asn. Vote.	Fil. Vote.	Fil. Vote.	Hisp. Reg.	% Asn. Reg.	% Fil. Reg.	+ Fil. Reg.	Hisp. Vote.	Asn. Vote.	Fil. Vote.	Fil. Vote.
A	52.7%	29.0%	10.6%	1.1%	5.5%	0.1%	0.4%	0.6%	34.8%	1.6%	1.4%	3.0%	27.9%	1.1%	1.5%	2.6%
B	57.8%	24.0%	8.7%	0.9%	7.4%	0.1%	0.3%	0.8%	45.0%	2.6%	1.1%	3.7%	39.3%	1.9%	0.6%	2.5%
C	69.7%	17.9%	7.8%	0.8%	3.0%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	51.4%	1.1%	1.3%	2.5%	45.4%	1.4%	1.2%	2.6%
D	61.9%	30.1%	2.8%	1.5%	3.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	43.8%	1.4%	0.8%	2.2%	35.9%	1.3%	0.5%	1.9%
E	70.9%	15.2%	4.5%	0.5%	7.8%	0.0%	0.6%	0.4%	55.9%	3.8%	1.1%	4.9%	50.4%	3.6%	1.1%	4.6%
Total	62.4%	23.4%	7.0%	1.0%	5.3%	0.1%	0.4%	0.5%	45.7%	2.1%	1.2%	3.2%	39.1%	1.8%	1.0%	2.8%

Dist	Special Tabulation							American Community Survey (ACS)								
	% Hisp	% NH		% NH		% NH		% Hisp	% NH		% NH		% NH		% NH	
	CVAP	Wht CVAP	Blk CVAP	Asn CVAP	Ind CVAP	Hwn CVAP	MR CVAP	CVAP	Wht CVAP	Blk CVAP	Asn CVAP	Ind CVAP	Hwn CVAP	MR CVAP	Oth CVAP	CVAP
A	33.8%	42.1%	16.5%	2.1%	1.3%	0.0%	4.2%	36.9%	41.9%	12.8%	2.6%	2.5%	0.0%	6.9%	20.8%	
B	38.8%	43.0%	10.7%	4.0%	0.9%	0.0%	2.5%	38.8%	41.8%	10.2%	4.4%	1.9%	0.0%	6.1%	20.9%	
C	39.6%	38.9%	16.1%	4.1%	0.3%	0.0%	1.0%	39.8%	37.1%	15.6%	3.6%	0.5%	0.0%	3.8%	19.8%	
D	50.2%	41.0%	2.9%	3.0%	0.5%	0.0%	2.2%	50.2%	40.0%	3.1%	3.1%	0.9%	0.0%	4.3%	25.0%	
E	50.3%	38.8%	5.0%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	50.3%	38.4%	5.3%	3.5%	0.3%	0.0%	4.7%	25.2%	
Total	41.6%	41.0%	10.8%	3.3%	0.7%	0.0%	2.6%	42.3%	40.2%	9.7%	3.4%	1.4%	0.0%	5.4%	22.1%	

CERTIFICATION

I, Gloria M. Burr, Clerk to the Board of Trustees of the Greenfield Union School District, certify that the foregoing Resolution was regularly introduced, passed, and adopted by the Board of Trustees at its meeting held on July 27, 2011.

DATED: August 24, 2011



Clerk, Board of Trustees
Greenfield Union School District