

1 BILAL A. ESSAYLI  
United States Attorney  
2 RICHARD M. PARK (SBN 236173)  
Chief, Civil Rights Section  
3 Assistant United States Attorney  
U.S. Attorney's Office  
4 1200 United States Courthouse  
312 North Spring Street  
5 Los Angeles, California 90012  
Telephone: (213) 894-3275  
6 E-mail: richard.park@usdoj.gov

7 HARMEET DHILLON  
Assistant Attorney General  
8 Civil Rights Division  
9 GREGORY BROWN  
Deputy Assistant Attorney General  
10 ROBERT KEENAN (SBN 151094)  
Senior Counsel  
11 U.S. Department of Justice  
Civil Rights Division  
12 150 M Street, NE  
Washington, D.C. 20002  
13 Telephone: (202) 514-3847  
14 Email: robert.keenan@usdoj.gov

15 *Attorneys for United States of America*

16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
17 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
18 **EASTERN DIVISION**

19  
20 SAVE GIRLS' SPORTS, et al.,

21 Plaintiffs,

22 v.

23 TONY THURMOND, et al.,

24 Defendants.

Case No. 5:24-cv-02480 SSS (SPx)

**STATEMENT OF INTEREST OF  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

Honorable Sunshine S. Sykes  
United States District Judge

1 The United States submits this Statement of Interest to clarify Title IX’s mandate  
2 that all students, regardless of sex, be provided equal educational opportunities,  
3 including in area of school athletics. The United States enforces Title IX of the  
4 Education Amendments of 1972, 20 U.S.C. § 1681, and has a significant interest in the  
5 proper interpretation of Title IX. The United States also enforces several other federal  
6 anti-discrimination statutes that, like Title IX, prohibit sex discrimination, *e.g.*, Title VII  
7 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e to 2000e-17. The United States also  
8 has a significant interest in ensuring that federal funds are not used to discriminate on the  
9 basis of sex and other protected classes. *See* 20 U.S.C. § 1682.

10 Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 provides that “[n]o person in the  
11 United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the  
12 benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity  
13 receiving Federal financial assistance.” 20 U.S.C. § 1681(a). Unlike other federal laws  
14 that prohibit sex discrimination and outlaw differential treatment of the sexes, Title IX  
15 explicitly acknowledges fundamental differences in the way girls and boys participate in  
16 sports. In acknowledgement of these differences, Title IX permits girls and boys to  
17 compete on separate, single-sex teams. Allowing girls to compete on their own teams,  
18 and not against boys, has resulted in many salutary effects for girls including improved  
19 physical health and self-esteem, leadership development, and opportunities to compete  
20 for awards, recognition, and scholarships. In the above-captioned case, however, the  
21 presence of a biological male-transgender female competing on a girls’ cross-country  
22 team upsets the level playing field, interfering with the equal opportunity for females to  
23 fully participate in and enjoy the educational benefits of athletics.

## 24 **I. INTEREST OF THE UNITED STATES**

25 This case brought by Plaintiffs Save Girls’ Sports and two girls cross-country  
26 athletes and their guardians implicates the core protections of Title IX of the Education  
27 Amendments of 1972, 20 U.S.C. § 1682 *et seq.*, which safeguard equal educational  
28 opportunities for boys and girls, including “equal athletic opportunity” to participate in

1 school athletic programs. *See* 34 C.F.R. § 106.41(c); 45 C.F.R. § 86.41(c). As set forth in  
2 the White House’s Executive Order 14201 “Keeping Men Out of Women’s Sports,” it is  
3 the policy of the United States to protect opportunities for women and girls to compete in  
4 sports consistent with their biological sex, not gender identity.<sup>1</sup> Executive Order 14201  
5 seeks to “affirmatively protect all-female athletic opportunities and all-female locker  
6 rooms and thereby provide equal opportunity guaranteed by Title IX of the Educational  
7 Amendments Act of 1972.”

8 The United States respectfully submits this Statement of Interest pursuant to 28  
9 U.S.C. § 517, which authorizes the Attorney General “to attend to the interests of the  
10 United States in a suit pending in a court of the United States.”

## 11 **II. BACKGROUND**

12 On January 31, 2025, Plaintiffs Save Girls’ Sports, an unincorporated California  
13 association; T.S., a minor by and through her father and natural guardian, Ryan Starling,  
14 individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated; and K.S., a minor by and  
15 through her father and mother and natural guardians, Daniel Slavin and Cynthia Slavin,  
16 individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated filed the First Amended  
17 Complaint, seeking declaratory and injunctive relief related to the participation of a  
18 biological male-transgender female on a girls’ high school cross-country team and the  
19 school’s speech policy prohibiting protests to such participation. Defendants Tony  
20 Thurmond and Rob Bonta, in their official capacities as state officials, and Leann  
21 Iacuone and Amanda Chann, in their personal and official capacities as local school  
22 officials have filed motions to dismiss Plaintiffs’ First Amended Complaint arguing,  
23 *inter alia*, lack of standing, sovereign immunity, and failure to state a claim under Title  
24 IX and California Education Code § 220. (Defs.’ Mots. ECF Nos. 37, 41.) The motions  
25 have been fully briefed and are scheduled to be heard on June 20, 2025.

---

26  
27 <sup>1</sup> Exec. Order No. 14201, Keeping Men Out of Women’s Sports, 90 F.R. 9279,  
28 Feb. 5, 2025, *available at* <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2025/02/11/2025-02513/keeping-men-out-of-womens-sports#page->.

1 In relevant part, Plaintiffs’ First Amended Complaint alleges that M.L., a  
2 biological male-transgender female transferred into Martin Luther King High School in  
3 the summer of 2024 and joined the girls’ cross-country team.<sup>2</sup> ECF No. 28, at ¶¶ 80,  
4 115, 120. At M.L.’s previous high school, M.L. ranked number one on the girls’ cross-  
5 country team and held the school’s all-time cross-country record. *Id.* ¶¶ 121-22. The  
6 First Amended Complaint further alleges that M.L. displaced Plaintiff T.S., a biological  
7 female, on the girls’ cross-country most elite team, the Varsity Top 7, depriving T.S. of  
8 the opportunity to compete with the Varsity Top 7 at the Mt. SAC Cross Country  
9 Invitational. *Id.* ¶¶ 123-24. Plaintiff K.S., one of the top biological females on the girls’  
10 junior varsity team, is another impacted female athlete who would otherwise be one of  
11 the immediate contenders for a spot on the Varsity Top 7 team. *Id.* ¶ 137.

12 M.L. remained on the Varsity Top 7 team for the cross-country season, finishing  
13 as a medalist in several races and displacing females from receiving awards. *Id.* ¶¶ 150-  
14 51. On December 17, 2024, M.L. received the “MLK HS Senior Girl” award for fastest  
15 run time on the girls’ cross-country team, displacing a female from receiving the honor.  
16 *Id.* ¶¶ 152.

### 17 **III. DISCUSSION**

18 The United States has an interest in affirming the foundational tenets of Title IX of  
19 the Education Amendments of 1972, 20 U.S.C. § 1682 *et seq.* to provide girls and boys  
20 equal educational opportunities, including an “equal athletic opportunity” to participate  
21 in school athletic programs. *See* 34 C.F.R. § 106.41(c); 45 C.F.R. § 86.41(c). To fulfill  
22 Title IX’s original promise of “equal athletic opportunity” within school sports, the law  
23 and its implementing regulations prohibit discrimination solely “on the basis of sex,” not  
24 transgender status.

---

25  
26  
27 <sup>2</sup> At the motion to dismiss stage, all well-pleaded facts in the First Amended  
28 Complaint must be accepted as true and construed in the light most favorable to the non-  
moving party. *Intri-Plex Techs., Inc. v. Crest Grp., Inc.*, 499 F.3d 1048, 1052 (9th Cir.  
2007).

1        Nowhere in the original Title IX statute or regulations is there any discussion  
2 about transgender athletes, or about biological males competing as transgender females  
3 on girls' sports teams. On the other hand, Title IX's regulations include numerous  
4 references – explicit and implicit – to the distinct ways that boys and girls participate in  
5 sports to fulfill the goal of equal athletic opportunity. Schools are allowed to operate  
6 separate teams for each sex “where selection for such teams is based upon competitive  
7 skill or the activity involved is a contact sport.” 34 C.F.R. § 106.41(b). Thus, schools  
8 may set up separate boys' and girls' teams in apparent acknowledgment of the physical  
9 differences and abilities between the two sexes to compete in any given sport. Moreover,  
10 even where no separate team exists in the same sport, schools may still categorically bar  
11 girls from contact sports such as “boxing, wrestling, rugby, ice hockey, football,  
12 basketball and other sports the purpose or major activity of which involves bodily  
13 contact.” *Id.* Again, the “no-contact sport” rule reflects a common-sense understanding  
14 that girls and boys engage in sports competitions in a fundamentally different manner,  
15 and these distinctions are necessary and prudent to promote fair competition and safety.

16        At the core of the Title IX regulations is an abiding interest in creating  
17 opportunities for women and girls to enjoy equal athletic opportunities on a level playing  
18 field. As alleged in the First Amended Complaint, allowing a biological male-  
19 transgender female to compete on a girls' cross-country team upsets that level playing  
20 field and interferes with the opportunity for girls to compete in a sport where mere  
21 seconds can mean the difference between wins and losses.

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

**IV. CONCLUSION**

This Statement of Interest reflects the United States’ significant interest in protecting the equal opportunity for girls to pursue the educational benefits of athletics without interference of biological males-transgender females on their sports teams.

Dated: May 28, 2025

BILAL A. ESSAYLI  
United States Attorney

*/s/ Richard Park*

---

RICHARD M. PARK  
Chief, Civil Rights Section  
United States Attorney’s Office for the  
Central District of California

HARMEET DHILLON  
Assistant Attorney General  
GREGORY BROWN  
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

*/s/ Robert Keenan*

---

ROBERT KEENAN  
Senior Counsel  
Civil Rights Division

*Attorneys for the United States of America*